BI 논리체계 증명기 개발

박성우

ROSAEC Workshop 2009년 7월 11일

1st Workshop 발표 슬라이드 (2008.11)

Theorem Prover for BI

• Bl

- Logic of Bunched Implications
- Separation logic과 밀접한 관계
 - Separation logic이 BI 모델의 일종
- 기존의 theorem <u>prover</u> for Bl
 - BILL
 - Inverse method prover [LPAR 2004]
- 목표
 - Inverse method + focusing

32

Logic 소개

진리표와 모델

• Model / 1/4

assignment of truth values (진리값) to propositions (명제)

– /: Prop ! { T, F }

	A	B	$A \wedge B$
\mathcal{I}_1	Т	Т	T
\mathcal{I}_2	Т	F	F
\mathcal{I}_{3}	F	Т	F
\mathcal{I}_{4}	F	F	F

Disjunction & Implication

$egin{array}{c} A \end{array}$	B	$A \lor B$
Т	Т	Т
Т	F	Т
F	Т	Т
F	F	F

ig A	B	$A \supset B$
Т	Т	Т
Т	F	F
F	Т	Т
F	F	Т

추론 시스템 (Inference System)

• Inference rules



• Axioms

$$\overline{A \vee \neg A} \mathsf{EM}$$

모델 vs 추론 시스템





Classical Logic

- Concerned with:
 - "whether a given proposition is true or not."
- Logic from God's point of view
 - Every proposition is either true or false.
- Tautologies in classical logic
 - $A \lor \neg A$ Law of Excluded Middle $\neg \neg A \supset A$ Double-negation elimination $((A \supset B) \supset A) \supset A$ Peirce's law

Intuitionistic Logic

- Concerned with:
 - "how a given proposition becomes true."
- Logic from a human's point of view
 we know only what we can prove.
- Not true in intuitionistic logic (for all A and B)
 - $\begin{array}{ll} A \lor \neg A & & \text{Law of Excluded Middle} \\ \neg \neg A \supset A & & \text{Double-negation elimination} \\ ((A \supset B) \supset A) \supset A & & \text{Peirce's law} \end{array}$

Classical vs Intuitionistic

- Do you agree that for any two statements the first implies the second or the second implies the first?
 - 예:
 - first statement: "달에는 계수나무와 토끼가 있다"
 - second statement: "P = NP"
 - Yes?
 - No?

Logic of BI

Separation Logic

- Hoare logic의 결점을 극복하는 논리체계
 - precondition, postcondition

 $\{x+1 \le N\} \; x := x+1 \; \{x \le N\}^{\!\!\!-}$

– local reasoning on resources (esp., pointers)

$$\frac{\{P\}C\{Q\}}{\{P*R\}C\{Q*R\}}$$
 Frame Rule \bullet \circ \bullet

- Ever increasing number of applications
 - E.g., Chang and Rival [POPL2008], Chin et al. [POPL2008], Parkinson and Bierman [POPL2008]

Logic of BI

- Logic of Bunched Implications (O'Hearn and Pym)
 - additive connectives
 - >, ?, :, Æ, Ç, !
 - either classically or intuitionistically
 - multiplicative connectives
 - *, -*
 - A * B : resource A and resource B
 - A -* B : resource A를 주면 resource B를 만든다

Separation Logic vs Logic of BI

- Separation Logic의 core = BI의 친척 where
 - a model of BI based on pointers and heaps
 - additive connectives are interpreted classically
- Cf. "Separation logic" = "BI's pointer logic"
- 모델 vs 추론 시스템

) Separation logic vs Logic of BI

A	B	$B\supset A$	$A\supset (B\supset A)$
Т	Т	Т	Т
Т	F	Т	Т
F	Т	F	Т
F	F	Т	Т

$$\frac{\overline{A}^{y} \quad (not \ used \ in \ the \ proof)}{\frac{B \supset A}{A \supset (B \supset A)} \supset^{|y|}} \supset^{x}$$

Prover for BI

$$(p*(q\wedge r))\twoheadrightarrow((p*q)\wedge(p*r))$$

BI Prover

- 기존의 Prover (not very practical)
 - BILL (Galmiche and Mery, 2003)
 - Tableaux method
 - 증명 불가능하면 counter-model을 제시함
 - Inverse prover (Donnelly et al, 2004)
 - Proof theory를 이용한 prover
- Ideally a prover for (full first-order) BI
 - $-\frac{1}{4}$ prover for separation logic
 - 프로그램 분석에 유용할 수 있음

- fun to develop



Sequent Calculus for BI

18

Canonical BI

$$\begin{split} \overrightarrow{A \Longrightarrow A} & \text{Init} \quad \frac{\phi[[\Psi] \Longrightarrow C}{\phi[[\Psi], \Psi'] \Longrightarrow C} \otimes \phi[[\Psi_{a}] \Longrightarrow C \\ \phi[[\Psi] \Longrightarrow C \\ \psi[\Psi] \Longrightarrow C \\ (\Psi) \quad ($$

19

Inverse System

Strictly weakening rules

 $\frac{\phi[\Omega] \Longrightarrow C}{\phi[\Omega; \Psi] \Longrightarrow C} \; \mathsf{W}_1 \quad \frac{\emptyset_{\mathsf{m}} \Longrightarrow C}{\emptyset_{\mathsf{m}}; \Psi \Longrightarrow C} \; \mathsf{W}_2 \quad \frac{\phi[\Omega] \Longrightarrow C}{\phi[\Omega, (\emptyset_{\mathsf{m}}; \Psi)] \Longrightarrow C} \; \mathsf{W}_3 \quad \frac{\phi[\emptyset_{\mathsf{a}}] \Longrightarrow C}{\phi[\Omega] \Longrightarrow C} \; \mathsf{W}_4 \quad \frac{\emptyset_{\mathsf{a}} \Longrightarrow C}{\emptyset_{\mathsf{m}} \Longrightarrow C} \; \mathsf{W}_5 \quad \frac{\phi[\Omega] \Longrightarrow C}{\phi[\Omega, I] \Longrightarrow C} \; \mathsf{IL}_2$

Strictly contracting rules

$$\begin{split} \frac{\phi[\Omega, \emptyset_{a}] \Longrightarrow C}{\phi[\Omega] \Longrightarrow C} & \mathsf{W}_{6} \quad \frac{\phi[\phi_{am}[\emptyset_{a}, \emptyset_{a}]] \Longrightarrow C}{\phi[\emptyset_{m}] \Longrightarrow C} \; \mathsf{W}_{7} \quad \frac{\phi[\Omega, \phi_{am}[\emptyset_{a}, \emptyset_{a}]] \Longrightarrow C}{\phi[\Omega] \Longrightarrow C} \; \mathsf{W}_{8} \quad \frac{\emptyset_{a}, \phi_{mm}[\emptyset_{a}] \Longrightarrow C}{\emptyset_{a} \Longrightarrow C} \; \mathsf{W}_{9} \\ \frac{\phi[\Omega; (\emptyset_{a}, \phi_{mm}[\emptyset_{a}])] \Longrightarrow C}{\phi[\Omega] \Longrightarrow C} \; \mathsf{W}_{10} \quad \frac{\phi[\Omega; \Omega] \Longrightarrow C}{\phi[\Omega] \Longrightarrow C} \; \mathsf{C}_{1} \quad \frac{\phi[\phi_{mm}[\emptyset_{m}; \emptyset_{m}]] \Longrightarrow C}{\phi[\emptyset_{m}] \Longrightarrow C} \; \mathsf{C}_{2} \quad \frac{\phi[\Omega, \phi_{mm}[\emptyset_{m}; \emptyset_{m}]] \Longrightarrow C}{\phi[\Omega] \Longrightarrow C} \; \mathsf{C}_{3} \\ \frac{\emptyset_{a}, \phi_{mm}[\emptyset_{m}; \emptyset_{m}] \Longrightarrow C}{\emptyset_{a} \Longrightarrow C} \; \mathsf{C}_{1} \quad \frac{\phi[\Omega; (\emptyset_{a}, \phi_{mm}[\emptyset_{m}; \emptyset_{m}]]) \Longrightarrow C}{\phi[\Omega] \Longrightarrow C} \; \mathsf{C}_{5} \end{split}$$

Rules without premisses

$$\overline{A \Longrightarrow A} \ Init \quad \overline{\emptyset_{\mathsf{a}} \Longrightarrow \top} \ \top R \quad \overline{\emptyset_{\mathsf{m}} \Longrightarrow \mathsf{l}} \ R$$

Non-focusing rules

$$\begin{array}{c} \underline{\Psi \Longrightarrow A \ \Psi' \Longrightarrow B} \\ \underline{\Psi' \Longrightarrow A \wedge B} \ \wedge R \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \underline{\Psi \Longrightarrow A \ \emptyset_{a} \Longrightarrow B} \\ \overline{\Psi \Longrightarrow A \wedge B} \ \wedge R \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \underline{\Psi \Longrightarrow A \wedge B} \\ \overline{\Psi \Longrightarrow A \wedge B} \ \wedge R \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \underline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \\ \overline{\Psi \Longrightarrow A \wedge B} \end{array} \\ \wedge R \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \underline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \\ \overline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \vee B} \end{array} \\ \wedge R \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \underline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \\ \overline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \vee B} \end{array} \\ \wedge R \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \underline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \\ \overline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \vee B} \end{array} \\ \wedge R \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \underline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \\ \overline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \vee B} \end{array} \\ \wedge R \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \underline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \\ \overline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \vee B} \end{array} \\ \wedge R \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \underline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \\ \overline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \vee B} \end{array} \\ \wedge R \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \underline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \\ \overline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \vee B} \end{array} \\ \wedge R \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \underline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \\ \overline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \vee B} \end{array} \\ \times R \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \underline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \\ \overline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \vee B} \end{array} \\ \times R \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \underline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \\ \underline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \times B} \end{array} \\ \times R \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \underline{\Phi \end{array} \\ \underline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \\ \overline{\Phi \Longrightarrow A \vee B} \end{array} \\ \times R \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \underline{\Phi \end{array} \\ \underline{\Phi \end{array} \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \times R \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \underline{\Phi \end{array} \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \times R \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \times R \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array}$$
 \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \end{array} \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \\ \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \\ \\ \underline{\Phi } \\ \\ \\ \underline{\Psi } \\ \\ \\ \underline{\Psi }

Atomic focusing rules

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \underline{\Psi \Longrightarrow A} & \phi[B] \Longrightarrow C \\ \hline \phi[\Psi; A \supset B] \Longrightarrow C & \supset L & \underbrace{\emptyset_{\mathbf{a}} \Longrightarrow A} & \phi[B] \Longrightarrow C \\ \hline \phi[A \supset B] \Longrightarrow C & \supset L & \underbrace{\Delta \Longrightarrow A} & \phi[B] \Longrightarrow C \\ \hline \phi[\Delta, A \to B] \Longrightarrow C & \rightarrow L & \underbrace{\emptyset_{\mathbf{m}} \Longrightarrow A} & \phi[B] \Longrightarrow C \\ \hline \phi[A \to B] \Longrightarrow C & \neg \star L \\ \hline \frac{A \Longrightarrow B}{\emptyset_{\mathbf{a}} \Longrightarrow A \supset B} \supset R & \underbrace{A \Longrightarrow B} \\ \hline & \psi[A \to B] \to C \\ \hline & \phi[A \to B] \Longrightarrow C \\ \hline & \phi[A \to B] \Longrightarrow C \\ \hline & \phi[A \to B] \Longrightarrow C \\ \hline & \phi[A \to B] \to C \\ \hline$$

Double-atomic focusing rule

$$\frac{\phi[A] \Longrightarrow C \quad \phi[B] \Longrightarrow C}{\phi[A \lor B] \Longrightarrow C} \lor L$$

Additive focusing rules

_

$$\frac{\Psi \Longrightarrow A}{\phi[\Psi; \Psi'; A \supset B] \Longrightarrow C} \supset L \quad \underbrace{\emptyset_{a} \Longrightarrow A}{\phi[\Psi'; B] \Longrightarrow C} \supset L \quad \underbrace{\phi[A; B] \Longrightarrow C}{\phi[A \land B] \Longrightarrow C} \land L \quad \underbrace{\Psi; A \Longrightarrow B}{\Psi \Longrightarrow A \supset B} \supset R$$

감사합니다.