
For More Usable *This* Type

(*This* Type as a Hidden Type Variable)

Hyunik Na

(Joint Work with Sukyoung Ryu)

PL Lab@KIAST

2011.1.6~9

ROSAEC 5th Workshop

Overview

- Introduction to *This* type and *Exact* type
 - with 'equals()' method example
- Problems and existing solution
- Our solution
- Conclusion

Writing 'equals()' Method Is Not Easy

```
class Point {
    int x, y;

    boolean equals( ? ) {
        ?
    }
}

class ColorPoint extends Point {
    RGB color;

    boolean equals( ? ) {
        ?
    }
}
```

Writing 'equals()' Method Is Not Easy

First Naive Version of 'equals()' Method

```
class Point {
    int x, y;

    boolean equals( Point other ) {
        return ( x == other.x &&
                y == other.y );
    }
}

class ColorPoint extends Point {
    RGB color;

    boolean equals( ColorPoint other ) {
        return ( x == other.x &&
                y == other.y &&
                color == other.color );
    }
}
```

Writing 'equals()' Method Is Not Easy

Pitfall 1 : Unwanted Overloading

```
Stack<Object> st = new Stack<Object>();  
Point p1 = new Point( 1, 2 );  
Point p2 = new Point( 1, 2 );  
  
st.add( p1 );  
st.contains( p2 ); // returns false !
```

Writing 'equals()' Method Is Not Easy

Pitfall 1 : Unwanted Overloading - Solution

```
class Point {
    int x, y;

    boolean equals( Object o ) {
        if ( o instanceof Point ) {
            Point other = ( Point ) o;
            return ( x == other.x &&
                    y == other.y );
        } else {
            return false;
        }
    }
}
```

```
class ColorPoint extends Point {
    RGB color;

    boolean equals( Object o ) {
        if ( o instanceof ColorPoint ) {
            ColorPoint other = ( ColorPoint ) o;
            return ( x == other.x &&
                    y == other.y &&
                    color == other.color );
        } else {
            return false;
        }
    }
}
```

Writing 'equals()' Method Is Not Easy

Second Version, But Still Incomplete

```
class Point {
    int x, y;

    boolean equals( Object o ) {
        if ( o instanceof Point ) {
            Point other = ( Point ) o;
            return ( x == other.x &&
                    y == other.y );
        } else {
            return false;
        }
    }
}
```

```
class ColorPoint extends Point {
    RGB color;

    boolean equals( Object o ) {
        if ( o instanceof ColorPoint ) {
            ColorPoint other = ( ColorPoint ) o;
            return ( x == other.x &&
                    y == other.y &&
                    color == other.color );
        } else {
            return false;
        }
    }
}
```

Writing 'equals()' Method Is Not Easy : Pitfall 2 : Not Transitive Equality Relation

```
Point p1      = new Point( 1, 2 );  
ColorPoint p2 = new ColorPoint( 1, 2, Red );  
ColorPoint p3 = new ColorPoint( 1, 2, Blue );  
  
p1.equals( p2 );    // returns true  
p1.equals( p3 );    // returns true  
p2.equals( p3 );    // returns false !
```


Writing 'equals()' Method Is Not Easy

Pitfall 2 : Not Transitive Equality Relation - Solution

```
class Point {
    int x, y;

    boolean equals( Object o ) {
        if ( o instanceof Point ) {
            Point other = ( Point ) o;
            return ( other.canEqual( this )
                && x == other.x
                && y == other.y );
        } else {
            return false;
        }
    }

    boolean canEqual( Object o ) {
        return ( o instanceof Point );
    }
}
```

```
class ColorPoint extends Point {
    RGB color;

    boolean equals( Object o ) {
        if ( o instanceof ColorPoint ) {
            ColorPoint other = ( ColorPoint ) o;
            return ( other.canEqual( this )
                && x == other.x
                && y == other.y
                && color == other.color );
        } else {
            return false;
        }
    }

    boolean canEqual( Object o ) {
        return ( o instanceof ColorPoint );
    }
}
```

Writing 'equals()' Method Is Not Easy

Final Version

```
class Point {
    int x, y;

    boolean equals( Object o ) {
        if ( o instanceof Point ) {
            Point other = ( Point ) o;
            return ( other.canEqual( this )
                && x == other.x
                && y == other.y );
        } else {
            return false;
        }
    }

    boolean canEqual( Object o ) {
        return ( o instanceof Point );
    }
}
```

```
class ColorPoint extends Point {
    RGB color;

    boolean equals( Object o ) {
        if ( o instanceof ColorPoint ) {
            ColorPoint other = ( ColorPoint ) o;
            return ( other.canEqual( this )
                && x == other.x
                && y == other.y
                && color == other.color );
        } else {
            return false;
        }
    }

    boolean canEqual( Object o ) {
        return ( o instanceof ColorPoint );
    }
}
```

Possible Solutions

- Multi-methods (Dynamic Overloading)
 - Pros: more flexible, can handle heterogeneous collections
 - Cons: runtime overhead and exception
 - Care needed for binary methods
 - Best match is not the solution, e.g. intransitive equality relation again...
- *This Type* and Exact Type
 - Cons: less flexible
 - Pros: no runtime overhead

'equals()' Using *This* Type and Exact Type

```
class Object {  
    boolean equals( @This other ) { ... }  
    ...  
}
```

```
class Point {  
    int x, y;  
  
    boolean equals( @This other ) {  
        return ( x == other.x &&  
                y == other.y );  
    }  
}
```

```
class ColorPoint extends Point {  
    RGB color;  
  
    boolean equals( @This other ) {  
        return ( x == other.x &&  
                y == other.y &&  
                color == other.color );  
    }  
}
```

- ***This*** : type of *this*
 - changes its meaning along inheritance
- **@*This*** : exact *This*
 - disallows proper subtypes

Typing Rules about Exact Types

- `'new C (...)'` has `@C` type

```
@C c = new C ();
```

- `@C` is compatible to `C`, but not vice versa

```
C c  = new C (); // OK  
@C c2 = c;      // Not OK
```

- Binary methods can be called on only exactly typed expressions

```
@Point p = ...           but   Point p = ...  
p.equals(...); // OK     p.equals(p2) // Not OK
```

Why Is the 3rd Rule Required?

- Otherwise, following problematic code

```
Point p1 = new ColorPoint(...);
@Point p2 = new Point(...);
p1.equals(p2);
    // tries to access p2's color field!
```

Problems

- Severely restricts dynamic dispatch of binary methods
 - Cannot type clearly type safe binary methods invocations such as follows

```
Point p = ...      and      ListNode n = ...  
p.equals(p);      n.linkTo( n.next().next() );
```

- Cannot type factory methods definitions which have *@This* as the return type

```
class Point {  
    @This clone() { return ( new ? ); }  
}
```

Existing Solution (Saito & Igarashi, SAC 2009)

■ Local exactization

- Locally capture the exact type using **exact** statement

```
Point p = ...  
exact p as x, X in {  
    x.equals(x);           // locally, x: @X, X<:Point  
}
```

■ Nonheritable methods

```
class Point {  
    nonheritable @This clone() {  
        // Under nonheritable, @Point <: @This  
        return ( new Point(...) );  }  
}
```

Our Solution

- Implicit Exact Type Capture (IETC)
 - Exact type capture needs not boilerplate code
 - It's done by the type checker's internal process

```
Point p = ...  
p.equals(p); // just well-typed as is
```

- Virtual Constructor (*This*-constructor)

```
class Point {  
    @This clone() {  
        return ( new This(...) );  
    }  
}
```

Notions beneath IETC

- **class C ... == class C<This<:C<This>>> ...**
 - C is a type constructor mapping (hidden) *This* type variable
 - Only exact types instantiate *This*
 - *This* is exact without @ notation
- **@C == fixed point of C**
 - that is, @C = C<@C>
- **C == C<?>**
 - ? denotes wildcard
 - Exact type capture becomes wildcard capture, which can be done by a type checker's internal process

How is 'p.equals(p)' type checked

```
Point p = ...
// p has type Point<?> which is captured by Point<X>
// for a fresh type variable X.

p.equals(p);
// 1. 'p.equals' has type '[X/This](This -> boolean)
//    = (X -> boolean)'.
// 2. Argument p has type Point<X> as described above,
//    which is compatible to X, since X = Point<X>.
//    Therefore, well-typed!
```

Virtual Constructor (This-constructor)

- Not a new idea, but insufficiently explored
- Especially, following problem


```
class Foo {
    Foo( T fld ) {...}
    This genFactory() {
        ... new This( f ) ...
    }
}

class Goo {
    Goo( T fld, S fld2 ) {...}
    // inherited genFactory() becomes ill-typed
    // because of the additional field 'fld2'.
}
```

- How to fill missing values for additional fields
 - Possibly from *'default values'* or from *'this'*

Conclusion

- *This* type becomes more usable with IETC and Virtual Constructor
- Todo
 - Writing paper - typing rules and manuscript. half done
 - Type soundness proof
 - Implementation – ThisJ
 - using a Java extension framework, e.g. PolyGlot



Thank you
- Q & A