# Hierarchical Shape Abstraction of Dynamic Structures in Static Blocks

Pascal Sotin and Xavier Rival

INRIA

4 novembre 2013

P. Sotin, X. Rival (INRIA)

Hierarchical Shape Abstraction

4 novembre 2013 1 / 29

### Context of this talk

#### Previous talks :

- Static analysis of embedded softwares, with Astrée mostly numeric and boolean properties + control
- Shape analysis with Xisa / MemCAD inference of memory invariants, mixing value properties

This talk

Towards an application of shape abstraction to the analysis of embedded softwares

### Outline

#### 1 Critical embedded codes and data-structures

#### Abstraction

#### 3 Static analysis

4 Implementation and results

### 5 Conclusion

### Verification of safety critical embedded softwares

- Synchronous softwares, mostly numeric, few, rather flat data-structures
   Vérification of several industrial size applications by Astrée :
  - flight-by-wire software, around 1 MLOC no dynamic structures, no malloc
  - Analyzer designed since 2001 at ENS :
    - B. Blanchet, P. Cousot, R. Cousot, J. Feret,
    - L. Mauborgne, A. Miné, D. Monniaux, X. Rival
- Beyond synchronous softwares :
  - e.g., flight Warning System : gathers info about aircraft systems
  - asynchronous : Miné (ESOP'11)
  - uses a few, tricky data structures dynamic, but no malloc

### How to verify the code using those structures by abstract interpretation based static analysis?

P. Sotin, X. Rival (INRIA)

Hierarchical Shape Abstraction

## An example data-structure from a critical embedded code



- In highly critical, embedded code, malloc should not be used
  - it may fail
    - returns 0, e.g. if no long enough, contiguous block
  - no control on localization

Static array, dynamic list
typedef struct Cell {
 struct Cell \* next;
 int prio;
 /\* other fields \*/
} Cell;
Cell free pool[100];



A common pattern in avionics and aerospace softwares In FWS : list of messages to send to a display

P. Sotin, X. Rival (INRIA)

Hierarchical Shape Abstraction

# A code fragment

#### Display control

- Navigation in the list of messages : structure traversal
- Recomputation of the list of active messages, in order



#### Issues to resolve



- Abstract the array, in two zones
- Abstract the dynamic structure, using precise shape invariants
- S Analyze memory accesses using array indexes and list pointers

### Array abstractions

First approach : array abstraction [GRS'05,HP'08,CCL'11]

- partition of the array into zones
- specific invariants over each zone





#### But...

- The list structure is lost
- List accesses cannot be analyzed

# Shape abstractions for dynamic structures

Second approach : shape abstraction [SRW'99,DOY'06,CR'08]

- use shape graphs to describe unbounded regions
- rely on e.g., separation logic to fragment the heap



#### Dynamic structure

- The list structure is well described
- It can be summarized partially or fully

#### But...

- The contiguousness is lost
- Accesses via indexes or via field arithmetics cannot be analyzed

### Outline



#### 2 Abstraction

#### 3 Static analysis

4 Implementation and results

### 5 Conclusion

## Abstraction principle



#### **Contributions** :

- a hierarchical shape abstraction
- integration on top of a regular shape abstraction
- extension of shape analysis operations

P. Sotin, X. Rival (INRIA)

Hierarchical Shape Abstraction

Abstraction of memory states : shape abstraction

- Shape graphs with points-to edges, and inductive edges
- Nodes denote concrete values, edges denote memory regions



abstraction parameterized by a set of inductive definitions

Inductive definitions and segments



• Full inductive edges : complete structures

Segment edges : structure segments



Abstraction of an atomic memory block

Shape graphs introduced in Laviron, Chang, Rival (ESOP'10) :

- describe pointer arithmetics
- represent pointers to fields, using a (base,offset) abstraction



Example : chain of pointers to fields in a given block



### Abstraction of memory states and numeric contents

Product of shape graphs and numerical in Chang, Rival (POPL'08) :

 $\bullet$  utilize a numerical invariant  $\mathcal{N} \in \mathbb{D}_{num}$  tied to the nodes of  $\mathcal{G}$  :

 $\gamma(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{N}) = \{ \mathfrak{h} \mid \exists \nu : \mathsf{Nodes} \to \mathsf{Values}, \ \nu \in \gamma(\mathcal{N}) \land (\mathfrak{h},\nu) \in \gamma(\mathcal{G}) \}$ 

- relies on a cofibered abstraction (Venet, SAS'96)
- Example : abstraction of a sorted array of length 4





# Sub-memory predicate

### Principle

- The array zone : a single, "fat" points-to edge
- The contents : a sub-memory described by a shape invariant



(additional fields dropped for the sake of concision)

### A two layers memory abstraction



$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} +0 & \mapsto & \delta_0 \\ +16 & \mapsto & \delta_1 \end{array} \right. \overbrace{\mathbf{0}_0}^{0} \underset{\mathbf{list}}{\overset{\mathbf{0}_0}{\overset{\mathbf{0}_1}{\overset{\mathbf$$

- Node  $\overline{\alpha}$  describes a 24 bytes long value
- Sub-memory predicate, enclosing :
  - a shape graph : array contents viewed as a memory in itself
  - a sub-environment : mapping main offsets into sub-nodes

## Hierarchical memory abstraction

Shape domain as an underlying numerical abstract domain

- Abstract elements of  $\mathbb{D}_{sub}$  are of the form  $\mathbb{S}_{\overline{\alpha}}(Sub-env, Sub-graph)$
- The concretization of the whole domain is still of the form :

 $\gamma(\mathcal{G},\mathcal{N}) = \{ \mathfrak{h} \mid \exists \nu : \mathsf{Nodes} \to \mathsf{Values}, \, \nu \in \gamma(\mathcal{N}) \land (\mathfrak{h},\nu) \in \gamma(\mathcal{N}) \}$ 

Example, putting it all together :



is abstracted by :



### Outline

- 1 Critical embedded codes and data-structures
- Abstraction
- 3 Static analysis
  - 4 Implementation and results
- 5 Conclusion

### Abstract interpretation of a statement

### Computing sound abstract transfer functions

- Conservative analysis of concrete execution steps in the abstract e.g., assignments, condition tests...
- May lose precision, will never forget any behavior

Example : analysis of a translation with octagons



concrete computation step



abstract transfer function

#### Soundness : all concrete behaviors are accounted for !

P. Sotin, X. Rival (INRIA)

Hierarchical Shape Abstraction

4 novembre 2013 19 / 29

Static analysis

### Abstract interpretation of a loop

Computing invariants about infinite executions with widening  $\bigtriangledown$ 

- Widening  $\triangledown$  over-approximates  $\cup$  : soundness guarantee
- Widening *¬* guarantees the termination of the analyses

Example : iteration of the translation (2,1), with octagons



#### Soundness : all concrete behaviors are accounted for !

P. Sotin, X. Rival (INRIA)

**Hierarchical Shape Abstraction** 

4 novembre 2013 20 / 29

# Algorithms underlying operations, shape abstraction

Foundation for transfer functions and widening

• Unfolding : cases analysis on summaries



Abstract postconditions, on "exact" regions, e.g. insertion





• Folding : builds summaries and ensures termination



P. Sotin, X. Rival (INRIA)

Hierarchical Shape Abstraction

# Algorithms underlying operations, hierarchical abstraction

Foundation for transfer functions and widening

• Introduction of a sub-memory predicate :

$$\bigcirc_{o_1}^{o_0} \xrightarrow{\beta} \iff \bigcirc_{o_1}^{o_0} \xrightarrow{\beta} \qquad \mathbb{S}_{\overline{\beta}} \qquad \underbrace{\delta_{o_1 - o_0}^{0}}_{o_0 \mapsto \delta} \xrightarrow{\eta} \qquad \mathcal{N} = [\dots \land \eta = \beta]$$

- the fresh predicates says nothing new, but can be later extended (next slides)
- Fusion of consecutive sub-memory predicates



- merged predicates have to match consecutive points-to edges
- result joins sub-graphs, and sub-environments

P. Sotin, X. Rival (INRIA)

Hierarchical Shape Abstraction

# Analysis of an assignment

Next slides : assignment transfer function and join / widening

• Graphs are simplified : other fields than next are not shown

Inner loop traversal (localisation of the insertion position) :

 $cr = cr \rightarrow next;$ 

• Pre-condition :





Post-condition :





### Computation of the post

- Modified edge : inside the main memory shape graph
- Effect :
  - update of the
     destination offset into
     the new o'\_0
     o\_0 could be dropped

## Analysis of an assignment

Assignment inside a sub-memory :

 $b \rightarrow next = a;$ 

• Pre-condition :





• Post-condition :





### Computation of the post

- Modified edge : inside the sub-memory shape graph attached to α<sub>1</sub>
- Effect :
  - edge replacement
    - towards fresh node  $\delta_2^1$
  - equality constraint to capture equality across boundary
    - $\delta_1^1$  could be dropped
- If needed, preliminary unfolding should apply

# Hierarchical abstract join : introduction

Join after the second iteration in the inner loop, at the first iteration in the outer loop :

• First argument :



• Second argument :



• Output :



### Computation

- Make elements compatible
  - **introduction** at node  $\beta_0^0$ , in the first argument
  - introductions at nodes  $\beta_0^1, \beta_1^1$ , in the second argument, and fusion
- Main memory join : shape abstract domain
- Sub-memory join : also classical shape join

### Hierarchical abstract join : extension

### Inner loop second join :

• First argument :





• Second argument :



• Output :





### Computation

- Make elements compatible
  - **introduction** at node  $\alpha_1^1$ , in the second argument
  - fusion of both second argument sub-memories

similar to array analyses

- Main memory join : shape abstract domain
- Sub-memory join : also classical shape join

### Outline

- D Critical embedded codes and data-structures
- 2 Abstraction
- 3 Static analysis
- Implementation and results
- 5 Conclusion

# Implementation and results

Implementation inside the MemCAD analyzer

- Two instances of the shape abstract domain
- Hierarchical abstraction implemented as a functor
- Effectively, an integration of an array analysis to reason about contiguous points-to edges
- Shape analysis algorithms are reused as is, unmodified

Automatic verifcation (no runtime errors), analysis of code using free-pool compared to equivalent codes with malloc (more in the paper) :

Program	Allocation method	
	malloc	free-pool array
structure construction	0.195	0.520
structure traversal	0.056	0.107

Roughly, a 2X to 3X slowdown (but analysis of much trickier code)

# Concluding remarks

Analysis of a trick code that is implementing their own malloc

- integration of array abstract interpretation techniques into a separation logic based shape analyzer
- multi-level view of the memory, matching the data-types

Achieved thanks to a modular shape abstract domain

#### (Mid term) future task :

- remove the contiguousness assumption on sub-memories
- Verification of memory managers

(Long term) future work : integration into Astrée

- Significant work in abstract domain engineering
- Verification of industrial code user defined memory management